## Record of proceedings dated 25.08.2015

O. P. No.1 of 2015

Garrison Engineer MES, AFS, Hakimpet vs TSSPDCL

Petition seeking deemed distribution licence

Sri B. Krishna Mohan, Central Government Standing Counsel for the petitioner and Sri Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondent, are present. Counsel for the petitioner submitted few arguments on behalf of the petitioner and also filed orders of other Commissions granting order in favour of like institutions in the respective states. Counsel for the respondents sought time to make submissions in the matter and also file certain details as to the supply being made to petitioner.

The Commission directed parties to file relevant information regarding supply and the benefit that may accrue in case deemed license is granted to the petitioner. Adjourned.

Call on 08.09.2015

At 11.00 AM
Sd/Sd/Member

Call on 08.09.2015

At 11.00 AM
Sd/Chairman

O. P. No.8 of 2015

Garrison Engineer MES, AF Academy, Dundigal vs TSSPDCL

Petition seeking deemed distribution licence

Sri B. Krishna Mohan, Central Government Standing Counsel for the petitioner and Sri Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondent, are present. Counsel for the petitioner submitted few arguments on behalf of the petitioner and also filed orders of other Commissions granting order in favour of like institutions in the respective states. Counsel for the respondents sought time to make submissions in the matter and also file certain details as to the supply being made to petitioner.

The Commission directed parties to file relevant information regarding supply and the benefit that may accrue in case deemed license is granted to the petitioner. Adjourned.

Call on 08.09.2015 At 11.00 AM Sd/-Member Sd/-Chairman

## O. P. No.9 of 2015

## Garrison Engineer MES, Golconda vs TSSPDCL

## Petition seeking deemed distribution licence

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Sri Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondent is present. As there is no representation on two consecutive occasions that is on 03.08.2015 and today, the petition is dismissed default of non non appearance of the petitioner.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Member Chairman

O. P. No. 4 of 2015

M/s. Gayathri Sugars Limited vs Govt. of Telangana & TSNPDCL

Petition seeking determination of tariff for the project as the tariff mentioned in the power purchase agreement (PPA) is unviable.

Sri S. Rambabu counsel for the petitioner along with Sri. S. Murali Krishna representative of the petitioner and Sri Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondent, are present. Heard the arguments of the counsel for the parties. The counsel for the respondent also argued on the review petition filed by them today which was replied by the counsel for the petitioner.

Having heard the arguments of the counsel for the petitioner, the matter is reserved for orders.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Member Chairman

O. P. No. 11 of 2015

M/s. SLT Power & Infrastructure Projects Private Ltd vs Government of Telangana, TSTRANSCO, TSSPDCL & NREDCAP

Petition seeking directions to apply the tariff determined on 22.06.2013 in respect of the industrial waste project of 3.5 MW of the petitioner in terms of order of Hon'ble ATE dated 20.12.2012.

Sri. G. Vijay Bhaskar Reddy representative of the petitioner and Sri Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondent, are present. The representative of the petitioner sought time as the counsel for the petitioner is unable attend the hearing. The counsel for the respondent also sought the time to argue the matter. Accordingly, adjourned.

Call on 08.09.2015 At 11.00 AM Sd/-Chairman

Sd/- Sd/-Member Member

O. P. No. 10 of 2015

M/s. Sundew Properties Ltd vs TSSPDCL

Petition seeking deemed distribution licence

Sri T. Rajendra, Advocate on behalf of Sri. P. Sri Ram counsel for the petitioner and Sri Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondent are present. The counsel for the petitioner sought time stating the party is in the process of the complying with the requirement of filing additional documents and reply in the matter. The counsel or the respondent has no objection. Adjourned

Call on 02.11.2015 At 11.00 AM Sd/-Chairman

Sd/-Member

Sd/-Member

O. P. No. 13 of 2015 And I.A.No.21 of 2015

M/s. Global Energy Pvt. Ltd vs Nil & M/s Ushdev Engitech Ltd.

Petition seeking Intra State Trading License for the State of Telangana

Petition to implead proposed respondent by I.A. as respondent in OP No. 13 of 2015

Sri P. Vikram, Counsel for the petitioner along with Ms. Deepika Sehagal in house

counsel of the petitioner, Sri. B Tagore counsel for the implead petitioner and Sri Y. Rama Rao, Counsel TSSPDCL are present. The counsel for the implead petitioner and counsel for the petitioner have submitted arguments. The counsel for the petitioner is directed to file all the documents being relied upon and counsel for petitioner to verify and file his version of additional documents, if any.

The Commission sought to hear the persons representing the SLDC on the matter. As the representatives who were present in another case had no notice, office is directed to issue specific notice to SLDC to appear and make submission in the matter. The office is directed to send all the papers on the record to SLDC along with the notice Counsel for the TSSPDCL to convey the same from his side to SLDC. Adjourned

 Call on 02.11.2015

 At 11.00 AM

 Sd/ Sd/ 

 Member
 Chairman

O. P. No. 39 of 2015

M/s. Reliance Infrastructure Ltd. Vs DISCOM & APPCC

Petition u/s 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking to restrain the DISCOMs from deducting from the monthly bills amounts towards deemed generation

Sri P. Vikram Counsel for the petitioner and Sri Y. Rama Rao Counsel for the respondents are present. The counsel for the petitioner sought time to file additional documents and reply in the matter. Counsel for the respondents stated that the matter s involves jurisdiction and may be taken up later after the pronouncement of the orders on the issue already reserved by the Commission.

The Commission agreed to the same. Accordingly adjourned the matter to be posted after the issue of jurisdiction is settled. Fresh date will be intimated at that time.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Member Chairman

O. P. No. 44 of 2015 & I. A. No. 09 of 2015

APPCC & DISCOMS Vs M/s. Reliance Infrastructure Ltd

Petition filed u/s 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 in the matter of ineligibility of availability declarations with alternate fuel (naphtha / HSD) by the respondent, after the year 2009, and for deletion of alternate fuel clause".

IA filed by the petitioners u/s 128 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for appointment of a committee of technical officers and direct them to investigate the issue as to whether there were tanks for storing naptha at the project of the respondent and after the year 2009 and as to the probable date of dismantling of naptha tanks, by inspecting the project premises and the records.

Sri Y. Rama Rao Counsel for the petitioners and Sri P. Vikram Counsel for the respondent are present. The counsel for the respondent sought time to file additional documents and reply in the matter. Counsel for the petitioners stated that the matter s involves jurisdiction and may be taken up later after the pronouncement of the orders on the issue already reserved by the Commission.

The Commission agreed to the same. Accordingly adjourned the matter to be posted after the issue of jurisdiction is settled. Fresh date will be intimated at that time.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Member Chairman

O.P.No. 58 of 2015 And I.A.No. 12 of 2015

M/s. Reliance Infrastructure Ltd vs DISCOMS & APPCC

Petition filed by the petitioner u/s 86(1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking declaration that HSD is a alternative fuel in terms of Article 1.1.27 of the PPA and refund the unauthorized deductions of Rs. 96,68,92,198/- made by the respondents from the bills payable to the petitioner

Sri P. Vikram Counsel for the petitioner and Sri Y. Rama Rao Counsel for the respondents are present. The counsel for the petitioner sought time to file additional documents and reply in the matter. Counsel for the respondents stated that the matter s involves jurisdiction and may be taken up later after the pronouncement of the orders on the issue already reserved by the Commission.

The Commission agreed to the same. Accordingly adjourned the matter to be posted after the issue of jurisdiction is settled. Fresh date will be intimated at that time.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Member Chairman

O. P. No. 61 of 2015 And I. A. No. 23 of 2015

M/s Green Energy Association vs. TSDISCOMS & SLDC

Petition u/s 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of Regulation 7 (1) & (2) and 9 of the APERC Renewable Power Purchase Obligation (Compliance by purchase of renewable energy / renewable energy certificate) Regulation, 2012

Sri B. Tagore counsel for the petitioners and Sri Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondents are present. Counsel for the respondent sought time to file the counter affidavit as it could not be filed due administrative procedures. Adjourned.

Call on 08.09.2015 At 11.00 AM Sd/-Chairman

Sd/- Sd/-Member Member

> O. P. No 74 of 2015 And I.A.No. 24 of 2015

M/s Hetero Wind Power Ltd. vs TSTRANSCO, APTRANSCO & TSSPDCL

Petition seeking execution of tariff order dated 09.05.2014 with regard to exemption of transmission & wheeling charges for the petitioner's wind project

Filed an I.A. seeking to amend the title in the petition.

Sri Prasad Rao, Counsel for the petitioner and Sri Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondents are present. Counsel for the respondents stated that the transmission licensee has filed a review petition which may also be taken up for along with this petition. The counsel for the petitioner informed that the petitioner has filed a reply to the counter affidavit. Counsel for respondent sought a copy of the same. The office is directed to provide the same to the counsel immediately.

The office is also directed to list the review petition purported to have been filed by the transmission licensee along with this petition. Adjourned.

> Call on 08.09.2015 At 11.00 AM Sd/-Chairman

Sd/- Sd/-Member Member

O. P. No. 90 of 2015

M/s Lodha Healthy Construction and Developers Pvt. Ltd. vs TSSPDCL & Officers

Petition filed questioning the action of DISCOM in not implementing the order of the Vidyut Ombudsman and to punish the licensee u/s 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Sri. M. K. Viswanatha Naidu Advocate on behalf of Sri. Challa Gunaranjan counsel for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondents, are present. Counsel for the respondent has filed the counter affidavit in the petition. Counsel for petitioner sought time to file reply. Adjourned.

 Call on 08.09.2015

 At 11.00 AM

 Sd/ Sd/ 

 Member
 Chairman

O. P. No 91 of 2015

M/s Sanathnagar Enterprises Ltd. vs TSSPDCL & Officers

Petition filed questioning the action of DISCOM in not implementing the order of the Vidyut Ombudsman and to punish the Licensee u/s 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Sri. M. K. Viswanatha Naidu Advocate on behalf of Sri. Challa Gunaranjan counsel for the petitioner and Sri. Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondents, are present. Counsel for the respondent has filed the counter affidavit in the petition. Counsel for petitioner sought time to file reply. Adjourned.

 Call on 08.09.2015

 At 11.00 AM

 Sd/ Sd/ 

 Member
 Chairman

O. P. No 3 of 2015

M/s Geo Syndicate Power Pvt. Ltd. vs TSNPDCL

Petition seeking determination of tariff for the supply of electricity generated from geothermal energy to respondent (APNPDCL now TSNPDCL) pursuant to Section 62, 64, 86.1 (a), 86.1 (b) and other applicable provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003.

The counsel for the petitioner and Sri Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondents, are present. Counsel for the petitioner and respondent sought time. Adjourned.

O. P. No 86 of 2015

M/s Indian Wind Power Association vs TSTRANSCO, TSDISCOMS & TGNREDCL

Petition filed for refixation of several factors that form part of the tariff.

Sri. V. Shailendra representative of the petitioner and Sri Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondents, are present. The representative of the petitioner stated that the counsel is unable to attend hearing as he is out of station and therefore he requested adjournment of the hearing. Counsel for respondent have no objection. Adjourned.

Call on 02.11.2015 At 11.00 AM Sd/-Chairman

Sd/-Member

Sd/-Member

O. P. No 87 of 2015

M/s Wind Independent Power Producers Association vs TSDISCOMS

Petition filed seeking determination of tariff for wind energy projects beyond 31.03.2015.

Sri Tushar Nagar, Counsel for the petitioner along with Sri. Ankit Chabra representative of the petitioner and Sri Y. Rama Rao, Counsel for the respondents, are present. Counsel for the petitioner stated that he had filed an amendment petition to amend the title, which was returned for complying defects. The said petition is being filed today and therefore he needs some time to submit arguments. The counsel for the respondents sought time to file the counter on the behalf of the Discoms as well as the amendment petition i.e., being filed by the petitioner. Adjourned.

Call on 02.11.2015 At 11.00 AM Sd/-Chairman

Sd/- Sd/-Member Member